

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 HIGH MAINTENANCE BITCH, LLC, a) Case No.:
Washington limited liability company)
11 Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT
12)
13 vs.) (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)
14 INNOVATIVE SPOTLIGHT, INC, a California)
Corporation,)
15 Defendant)

16 Plaintiff High Maintenance Bitch, LLC ("HMB") complains against
17 defendant Innovative Spotlight, Inc. ("Innovative"), and for causes of action
18 alleges as follows

19 THE PARTIES

20 1. Plaintiff HMB is a Washington State limited liability company,
21 with its principal place of business at 10015 Lake City Way, NE, Box 315,
22 Seattle, Washington, 98125.

23 2. HMB is the owner of United States Patent No. D475,163
24 ("HMB Patent 1"), reproduced as Exhibit A hereto.

25 3. HMB is the owner of United States Patent No. D468,491
26 ("HMB Patent 2"), reproduced as Exhibit B hereto.

1 4. HMB is the owner of United States Patent No. D475,162
2 ("HMB Patent 3"), reproduced as Exhibit C hereto.

3 5. Innovative is a corporation organized and existing under the laws
4 of the state of California, with its principal place of business at 13770
5 Prairie Avenue, Hawthorne, California, 90250.

6 **Jurisdiction**

7 6. This is a civil action for patent infringement committed by
8 Innovative.

9 7. This court has original subject matter jurisdiction over HMB's
10 claims for relief, which arise under the laws of the United States and more
11 particularly Title 35 of the United States Code, Pursuant to 28 USC § 1331.

12 8. This court has original subject matter jurisdiction over HMD's
13 Claims for relief, which arise under act of Congress relating to patents,
14 pursuant to 28 USC § 1338(a).

15 **Factual Background**

16 9. Innovative has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale
17 products that are within the scope of the claims of HMB Patent 1, HMB Patent
18 2, and HMB Patent 3.

19 10. HMB has not licensed or assigned Innovative HMB Patent 1, HMB
20 Patent 2, or HMB Patent 3.

21 **Causes of Action**

22 COUNT I
(Direct Infringement of HMB Patent 1)

23 11. By this reference HMB incorporates and re-alleges, as though
24 fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 10 above.

25 12. Innovative, without authority has made, used, sold, and/or
26

1 offered for sale goods within the scope of the claims of HMB Patent 1, HMB
2 Patent 2, and HMB Patent 3.

3 13. The conduct of Innovative as set forth above gives rise to
4 a cause of action for direct infringement of HMB patent 1, HMB patent 2, and
5 HMB patent 3, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 271(a), 281, and 289.

6 14. HMB alleges that Innovative will continue to infringe HMB Patent
7 1 unless enjoined by the court.

8 15. By reason of the foregoing HMB is entitled to injunctive and
9 monetary relief against Innovative, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 283-285, as more
10 fully set forth below.

11 COUNT II
12 (Direct Infringement of HMB Patent 2)

13 16. By this reference HMB incorporates and re-alleges, as though
14 fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

15 17. Innovative, without authority has made, used, sold, and/or
16 offered for sale goods within the scope of the claims of HMB Patent 2.

17 18. The conduct of Innovative as set forth above gives rise to
18 a cause of action for direct infringement of HMB Patent 2, pursuant to 35 USC
19 §§ 271(a), 281, and 289.

20 19. HMB alleges that Innovative will continue to infringe HMB Patent
21 2 unless enjoined by the court.

22 20. By reason of the foregoing HMB is entitled to injunctive and
23 monetary relief against Innovative, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 283-285, as more
24 fully set forth below.

25 COUNT III
26 (Direct Infringement of HMB Patent 3)

21. By this reference HMB incorporates and re-alleges, as though
fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 20 above.

22. Innovative, without authority has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale goods within the scope of the claims of HMB Patent 3.

23. The conduct of Innovative as set forth above gives rise to a cause of action for direct infringement of HMB Patent 3, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 271(a), 281, and 289.

24. HMB alleges that Innovative will continue to infringe HMB Patent 3 unless enjoined by the court.

25. By reason of the foregoing HMB is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Innovative, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 283-285, as more fully set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, HMB prays for judgment against Innovative as follows.

A. An order of this court temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoining Innovative Spotlight, Inc., its agents, and any and all parties acting in concert with any of them from directly or indirectly infringing in any manner HMB Patent 1, HMB Patent 2, and HMB Patent 3, whether by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell infringing products, pursuant to at least 35 USC § 283;

B. An award of damages to HMB, in an amount to be proven at trial, pursuant to at least 35 USC § 284;

C. Prejudgment interest pursuant to at least 35 USC § 284;

D. An award of HMB's attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to at least 35 USC § 285; and

E. For such other and further relief as the court deems just, proper and equitable.

DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff High Maintenance Bitch, LLC demands TRIAL BY JURY of all
causes so triable.

Dated this June 8, 2007

/Daniel M Bronski/

VeriTrademark
216 First Ave S #210
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 219-0515

Daniel M. Bronski

James J. Ruttler, of
Counsel (US Patent Reg. No.
56,919)